

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,155	07/16/2003	Marvin I. Fredberg	RAY-133J	1204
75	90 10/06/2004	EXAMINER		INER
Iandiorio & Teska			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
260 Bear Hill Road Waltham, MA 02451-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/621,155	FREDBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael C. Wimer	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  If from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
	This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to by t	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appli priority documents have been rec ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		,			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/11/2003</u> .	3/08) 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/621,155 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

1. Figure 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2828

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene (4506269) in view of Coffy (5360503).

Regarding Claims 1,2,12,13,22 and 24, Greene shows a method of producing a radome 10 (Prior Art Fig. 1) comprising at least one rigid panel 13 or 15 (Figures 3 & 4) with an outside skin 14 comprised of polyarylate. No polyester-polyarylate fiber construction appears to be suggested. Thus, Coffy is cited as evidence of obviousness and as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the art and teaches in EXAMPLE 1 in col. 6, line 50 to col. 7, line 5, a composition of polyester-polyarylate fibers in a rigid matrix material and having remarkable transparency to EM waves, and thus excellent for radomes. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ such a composition in the skin of the radome in Greene for forming the radome with the excellent qualities set forth.

Regarding Claims 3,4,14 and 23, it would have been obvious to form the inner and outer skins in Green with the material composite of Coffy with the low density core 22 of Green.

Regarding Claims 5-10,15-20, the specific materials recited here for the resin composition would have been obvious to the skilled artisan as they are common engineering materials available for resin matrix structures.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,155 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding Claims 11 and 21, the denier number for the fibers would have been obvious to the skilled artisan since it depends upon the specific composition of materials and the strength desired in a particular design.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2828

MCW 9/20/2004